The *Bacillus subtilis* germinant receptor GerA triggers premature germination in response to morphological defects during sporulation
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Summary

During sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*, germinant receptors assemble in the inner membrane of the developing spore. In response to specific nutrients, these receptors trigger germination and outgrowth. In a transposon-sequencing screen, we serendipitously discovered that loss of function mutations in the *gerA* receptor partially suppress the phenotypes of >25 sporulation mutants. Most of these mutants have modest defects in the assembly of the spore protective layers that are exacerbated in the presence of a functional GerA receptor. Several lines of evidence indicate that these mutants inappropriately trigger the activation of GerA during sporulation resulting in premature germination. These findings led us to discover that up to 8% of wild-type sporulating cells inappropriately trigger germination during the process of sporulation. Our data suggest that the requirement for dormant spores to sensitively monitor and rapidly respond to nutrients comes at a high cost, in which errors in morphogenesis lead to a significant loss of viable spores from the population.

Introduction

Mutant phenotypes are often the direct consequence of the absence of a gene product. However, in many cases, a phenotype is largely indirect resulting from the inappropriate activation or inhibition of downstream events. In these instances, a small perturbation can be amplified leading to large phenotypic consequences. Here, we report a striking example of the latter in which a large set of mutants that have relatively modest defects in spore maturation inappropriately trigger germination resulting in loss of resistance properties and inviability. These findings led us to discover that a sizeable proportion of wild-type sporulating cells inappropriately trigger germination during the process of sporulation. Our data suggest that the requirement for dormant spores to sensitively monitor and rapidly respond to nutrients comes at a high cost, in which errors in morphogenesis lead to a significant loss of viable spores from the population.

In response to starvation, *Bacillus subtilis* enters the sporulation pathway in which one cell type (a nutrient deprived cell) differentiates into two: a mother cell (that ultimately lyses) and a dormant spore (reviewed in Pigott and Hilbert, 2004; Higgins and Dworkin, 2012; Tan and Ramamurthi, 2014). These two cells follow different programs of gene expression controlled by a cascade of alternative sigma factors that are activated in a stage and cell-type-specific manner. The first landmark event in this morphological process is the formation of polar septum that divides the cell into a large mother cell and smaller forespore compartment. Shortly after polar division, the mother cell membranes migrate around the forespore generating a cell within a cell surrounded by a membrane derived from the forespore (the inner forespore membrane), a thin layer of peptidoglycan (the germ cell wall) and a membrane derived from the mother cell (the outer forespore membrane). At this
stage, the spore prepares for dormancy, which includes the production of small acid soluble DNA-binding proteins (SASPs) that protect the spore chromosome from radiation, heat and genotoxic chemicals (Setlow, 2014b). Concomitantly the mother cell packages the spore in a series of protective layers including a thick and loosely cross-linked layer of specialized peptidoglycan (the cortex) in the space between the outer forespore membranes and the germ cell wall (Meador-Parton and Popham, 2000). The cortex is composed of a modified peptidoglycan in which ~ 50% of the N-acetyl muramic acid (MurNAc) sugars in the heteropolymeric glycan strands are converted to muramic delta lactam (Gilmore et al., 2004). The mother cell also assembles a multi-layered coat composed of > 70 proteins on the cytoplasmic face of the outer forespore membrane (McKenney et al., 2013) that protects the spore from predation and degradative enzymes (Klobutcher et al., 2006). Finally, the mother cell produces the small molecule dipicolinic acid (DPA) that is transported into the spore as a Ca\(^{2+}\) chelate. Ca\(^{2+}\)-DPA replaces much of the water in the spore core, contributing to heat resistance and maintenance of spore dormancy (Paidhungat et al., 2000). Once the spore is mature the mother cell lyases releasing it into the environment.

Spores can remain dormant for years but can rapidly germinate and resume vegetative growth in the presence of nutrients (reviewed in Moir, 2006; Setlow, 2014a; Moir and Cooper, 2015). B. subtilis encodes five paralogous germinant receptors that are produced in the forespore after engulfment is complete. Each receptor is composed of three subunits (A, B and C) that are thought to form a membrane complex in the inner forespore membrane (reviewed in Ross and Abel-Santos, 2010). The A subunits are polytopic membrane proteins that are only homologous to other A subunits from endospore formers. The B subunits represent a branch of the APC (Amino acid-Polyamine-organoCation) superfamily of membrane transporters (Wong et al., 2012). The C subunits are lipoproteins. These receptors are required to respond to specific nutrients (called germinants) in the environment. It is not known how the receptors sense or transduce this information but germinants trigger exit from dormancy. Germination is thought to begin by the release of monovalent ions from the core, followed by the release of Ca\(^{2+}\)-DPA through a putative channel complex in the inner spore membrane. These steps are followed by the degradation of the spore cortex by two partially redundant spore cortex lytic enzymes that specifically target the muramic delta lactam, leaving the germ cell wall intact (Popham and Bernhards, 2015). Ca\(^{2+}\)-DPA release and cortex degradation allow an influx of water and the transition from a desiccated phase-bright spore to a swollen phase-dark one. Spore re-hydration restores metabolism and the capacity for efficient macromolecular synthesis. Finally, the coat breaks open, resulting in outgrowth of the germinated spore into a vegetative cell. The exit from dormancy is a decision not to be taken lightly but also requires that dormant cells not miss opportunities to take advantage of scarce and limited nutrients.

Here, we performed a genetic screen to identify additional factors involved in the signal transduction pathways that lead to spore germination. Instead, we serendipitously discovered that > 25 sporulation mutants that are impaired in the synthesis of the spore protective layers or core dehydration trigger premature activation of the GerA germinant receptor during development. These observations led us to discover that a surprisingly large percentage of wild-type sporulating cells inappropriately trigger germination in a GerA-dependent fashion. We further show that the majority of these prematurely germinated spores are inviable. Thus, our data suggest that the GerA receptor is highly sensitized to sense and respond to nutrients and the cost of this sensitivity is the loss of up to 8% of the sporulating population as a result of errors in morphogenesis.

Results

Many sporulation mutants are partially suppressed by the absence of the GerA receptor

In an attempt to identify novel factors that function in the signaling pathways that trigger spore germination, we used transposon-sequencing (Tn-seq) (van Opijnen and Camilli, 2013) to screen for genes that become critical for germination when sporulating cells rely on a single germinant receptor. Cells lacking the three principal germinant receptors GerA, GerB and GerK have a > 1000-fold reduction in spore germination on LB agar plates (Paidhungat et al., 2000). However, as long as either the GerA or GerK receptor is present, germination is ~ 80% of wild-type levels. Accordingly, to identify factors that act specifically in the GerA signaling pathway, we screened for transposon insertions that had strong germination defects in a strain in which GerA was the only functional receptor. Although the two minor germinant receptors encoded in the ynd and yfk operons are not known to be critical for germination (Paidhungat et al., 2000), our ‘GerA-only’ strain had loss of function mutations in the gerB, gerK, ynd and yfk operons (referred to as Δ4 gerA\(^{-}\)). Similarly, we screened for factors specific to the GerK signaling pathway using a strain in which GerK was the only functional receptor (Δ4 gerK\(^{+}\)). In both strains, the genes encoding the components that resemble permeases (the ‘B’ subunits) (Cooper and Moir, 2011) of these receptors were deleted (specifically,
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ΔgerAB, ΔgerKB, ΔgerBB, ΔyndB and ΔyfkB. Saturating transposon libraries were constructed in wild-type and in the two quadruple mutants. At the onset of starvation (T0), a sample was removed from the wild-type library and the three cultures were allowed to exhaust their nutrients and sporulate over the next 24 h (T24). The cultures were then incubated at 80°C for 20 min to kill vegetative and sporulation-defective cells and plated on LB agar. More than 500,000 colonies from germination proficient spores were pooled from each library and the transposon insertions were mapped by deep sequencing (see ‘Experimental procedures’ section). The transposon insertion profiles from the wild-type library and the Δ4 gerA+ and Δ4 gerK+ libraries were compared to each other and to the wild-type library harvested at T0.

As expected, transposon insertions in all three genes in the gerA operon were significantly under-represented in the Δ4 gerA+ library (Supporting Information Fig. S1). Similarly, insertions in the gerK operon were largely absent in the Δ4 gerK+ library. Consistent with the idea that the germinant receptors do not work with pathway-specific signaling proteins that are critical for germination (Li et al., 2014), there were no additional genes in which transposons were specifically under-represented in one but not the other library. However, examination of the transposon insertion profiles identified an unanticipated set of genes in which insertions were over-represented in the Δ4 gerK+ library compared to the wild-type and Δ4 gerA+ libraries (Fig. 1 and Supporting Information Fig. S2). Three particularly clear examples are shown in Fig. 1. The ylbJ gene encodes a polytopic membrane protein of unknown function that is induced during sporulation under the control of the mother cell transcription factor SigE (Eichenberger et al., 2003). PdaB (also known as SpoVIE) is a peptidoglycan deacetylase involved in spore cortex synthesis and is also produced in the mother cell under SigE control (Fukushima et al., 2004; Silvaggi et al., 2004). SpoVT is a late-acting forespore transcription factor (Bagyan et al., 1996). Loss-of-function mutations in all three genes have been reported to reduce sporulation efficiency. Consistent with these data, in the wild-type library, transposon insertions in all three genes were present at the time of starvation (T0) but were virtually absent after sporulation, heat treatment, germination and outgrowth (Fig. 1). By contrast, in the Δ4 gerK+ strain, insertions in these genes appeared to have no impact on sporulation and/or germination. In other words, the absence of one or several of the germinant receptors appeared to suppress the sporulation/germination defects of ΔylbJ, ΔpdaB and ΔspoVT. Moreover, transposon insertions in ylbJ and several other hits in the screen appeared to be over-represented compared to the wild-type library at T0 (Fig. 1 and Supporting Information Fig. S2).
In total, we identified 37 genes in which transposon insertions were over-represented in the Δ4 \textit{gerK}′ library compared to the wild-type and Δ4 \textit{gerA}′ libraries at T24 (Table 1 and Supporting Information Fig. S2). Mutations in 23 of them (including \textit{ylbJ}, \textit{pdaB} and \textit{spoVF}) have been reported to result in sporulation defects. Mutations in 9 have recently been found to delay but not block spore maturation (Meeske \textit{et al.}, 2016). Finally, five of the genes were not previously reported to impact sporulation. As expected, the transposon insertion profiles in the wild-type library for these last two groups of genes were not significantly different between T0 and T24. However, insertions in these genes were over-represented in the Δ4 \textit{gerK}′ library, similar to the pattern observed for \textit{ylbJ} (Supporting Information Fig. S2). Among the 37 genes identified, 28 have been demonstrated or are predicted to be expressed under sporulation control (Eichenberger \textit{et al.}, 2004; Nicolas \textit{et al.}, 2012; Arrieta-Ortiz \textit{et al.}, 2015), of which more than a third are in the SigE regulon. Most of those that have been characterized have been implicated in the synthesis of the spore envelope layers (the coat and cortex) or are involved in spore core dehydration.

Interestingly, previous work from Setlow and colleagues has shown that cells lacking the three principal germinant receptors (GerA, GerB and GerK) suppress the sporulation defect of a \Delta\textit{spoVF} mutant (Paidhungat \textit{et al.}, 2000). The \textit{spoVF} locus is a bi-cistronic operon that is expressed in the mother cell under the control of SigK (Daniel and Errington, 2003). The two genes in this operon encode the enzymes responsible for the synthesis of dipicolinic acid (DPA) that contributes to spore core dehydration and wet heat resistance (Paidhungat \textit{et al.}, 2000). In our Tn-seq screen, insertions in the \textit{spoVF} operon were significantly over-represented in the strain in which the GerA, GerB, Ynd and Yfk receptors were inactivated (Δ4 \textit{gerK}′) (Supporting Information Fig. S2). However, cells with a functional GerA receptor but lacking the other four (Δ4 \textit{gerA}′) did not display this suppression. In fact, the Δ4 \textit{gerA}′ (GerA-only) strain did not appear to suppress insertions at any genomic locus.

The GerA receptor is necessary and sufficient to enhance the sporulation defect of many sporulation mutants

To validate the suppression identified by Tn-seq, we began our analysis with the \textit{ylbJ} gene. We combined a \textit{ylbJ} null mutation with the Δ4 \textit{gerK}″ and Δ4 \textit{gerA}″ strains. Using the production of heat-resistant spores as our assay for sporulation efficiency, we compared these strains to wild-type and the Δ\textit{ylbJ} mutant. As reported previously (Eichenberger \textit{et al.}, 2003; Meeske \textit{et al.}, 2016), cells lacking \textit{ylbJ} were > 1,000,000-fold reduced in sporulation efficiency compared to wild-type. Furthermore, a Δ\textit{ylbJ} mutant with only a functional GerA receptor (Δ4 \textit{gerA}″) was similarly impaired (Supporting Information Table S1). However, and consistent with our Tn-seq data, cells with nonfunctional GerA, GerB, Ynd and Yfk receptors (Δ4 \textit{gerK}″) suppressed the Δ\textit{ylbJ} sporulation defect by > 15,000-fold sporulating at 0.6% efficiency (Supporting Information Table S1). It is unclear why the suppression was not complete as might have been anticipated from the over-representation of insertions in the \textit{ylbJ} gene in the Δ4 \textit{gerK}′ library compared to wild-type at T0 (Fig. 1). One possible explanation is that germination is delayed in cells lacking four of the five germinant receptors and in the absence of \textit{ylbJ}, germination occurred more quickly resulting in the over-representation of transposon insertions in it relative to other insertions in the library. However, our attempts to reconstitute this effect in mixing experiments have been unsuccessful. Nevertheless, the > 15,000-fold suppression of Δ\textit{ylbJ} mutant validated the screen and prompted further analysis.

Based on the data described above, we hypothesized that the presence of a functional GerA receptor enhances the sporulation defect of Δ\textit{ylbJ}. To test this, we generated a series of strains with different combinations of receptor mutations and analyzed their sporulation efficiency in the presence and absence of \textit{ylbJ}. As can be seen in Supporting Information Table S1, cells lacking the \textit{B} gene from the \textit{gerA} operon (Δ\textit{gerAB}) or the entire \textit{gerA} (Δ\textit{gerA}″) locus largely phenocopied the Δ4 \textit{gerK}″ strain, suppressing the Δ\textit{ylbJ} mutation > 15,000-fold. By contrast, the Δ\textit{gerKB} mutation suppressed ~ 200-fold, while the Δ\textit{gerBB} mutation only 15-fold. These data and the absence of significant suppression in a strain in which GerA is the only functional receptor (Supporting Information Table S1) are consistent with the central role played by the \textit{gerAB} mutation in suppressing the sporulation defects of the hits in our Tn-seq screen. To more rigorously test this idea, we generated in-frame deletions for four additional genes identified in our screen (\textit{pdaB}, \textit{spoVT}, \textit{spoVFA} and \textit{uppP}) and analyzed their sporulation efficiencies in the Δ4 \textit{gerK}′ strain (Supporting Information Table S2); in the absence of the GerA receptor (Δ\textit{gerA}″); and in the strain in which GerA was the only functional receptor (Δ4 \textit{gerA}″). The strain lacking four of the germinant receptors including GerA (Δ4 \textit{gerA}″) and the one that lacked GerA (Δ\textit{gerAB}) partially suppressed the sporulation defects of all four mutants (Supporting Information Table S2). The suppression ranged from 2.7- to 279-fold and was similar in the two backgrounds. Furthermore, in cells in which GerA was the only functional receptor, the sporulation efficiencies of the mutations were similar to those obtained in a wild-type background in which all five
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>% spo (gerK&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>% spo (AgerA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Fold suppression</th>
<th>Cytological suppression</th>
<th>Promoter(s)</th>
<th>Spo&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>araR</td>
<td>3.5 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Transcriptional repressor of arabinose utilization</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SgA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asno</td>
<td>1.9 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.05 × 10&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acylase synthase</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ccdA</td>
<td>4.7 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>265.2</td>
<td>Thiol-disulfide oxidoreductase (SpoVD activity)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgH&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dcmB</td>
<td>7.7 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>β-Naphtholamine carboxy-lysylase</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SpoOA, SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gerA</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Spore coat assembly and/or permeability</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pdaB (spoVIE)</td>
<td>6.0 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>Polysaccharide deacetylase (spore cortex formation)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prkA</td>
<td>1.4 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>Putative serine protein kinase</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prkC</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Serine protein kinase</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prpC</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>Protein phosphatase (antagonist of PrkC)</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qcrA</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>Menaquinol:cytochrome c oxidoreductase</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>AbrB, SpoOA, SgH&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rho</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Translation termination</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgA, SgH&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skB</td>
<td>4.6 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Synthesis of spore killing factor</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>AbrB, SpoOA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skC</td>
<td>1.7 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Synthesis of spore killing factor</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoM</td>
<td>1.4 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>Spore maturation protein (spore core dehydration)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SpoOA, SgE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoM&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>Spore maturation protein (spore core dehydration)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>SpoOA, SgE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.9 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>Uptake and release of Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;:DPA</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.3 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>Uptake and release of Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;:DPA</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>SgG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>Uptake and release of Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;:DPA</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;EB&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>Uptake and release of Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;:DPA</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>SgK</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;IG&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>Uptake and release of Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;:DPA</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;IR&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.6 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Uptake and release of Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;:DPA</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>Spore cortex assembly/spore core dehydration</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SpoOA, SgE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;VT&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.6 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Spore cortex/tooth synthesis</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>Spore cortex/tooth synthesis</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spoV&lt;sup&gt;GB&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Spore cortex/tooth synthesis</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uppP</td>
<td>4.0 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>Minor undecaprenyl pyrophosphatase phosphatase</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yabQ</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Spore cortex synthesis</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ydcQ</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>GlML, SknR, YdfI</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yeeC</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>SgA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yfrl&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.9 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Similar to macrolide efflux transporters</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>AbrB, SpoOA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yhrH&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>Unknown; sporulation or germination</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yblM&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.3 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.99 × 10&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>2 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.66 × 10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yblP&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Putative adaptor protein for ClpC–ClpP</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ypmB&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>SgA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yzqK&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yrbG&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ytaF&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Similar to Ca&lt;sup&gt;2+&lt;/sup&gt;-binding membrane transporters</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SgK</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a.** Based on Mann–Whitney U test.
**b.** Fold-change in transposon insertions compared to the wild-type after sporulation, 80°C for 20 min, germination and outgrowth.
**c.** Sporulation efficiency (spo) was determined 30h after starvation and refers to heat-resistant (80°C for 20 min) colony forming units (CFU) of the null mutant compared to wild-type.
**d.** Predicted promoter(s).
**e.** Previously reported to have a sporulation defect.
**f.** Previously reported to be delayed in spore maturation.

ND, no determined.
receptors were intact. Taken together, these results indicate that the GerA receptor is both necessary and sufficient to enhance the sporulation defects of these mutations. Although the suppression was highly reproducible (Supporting Information Table S2), similar to our analysis for ylbJ, it was more modest than predicted from the Tn-seq screen (see ‘Discussion’ section).

To survey a larger number of hits from our screen, we tested whether the ΔgerAB mutation could suppress 22 additional mutants. In-frame deletions were generated from the B. subtilis null mutant collection and analyzed for sporulation efficiency in the presence and absence of a functional GerA receptor (Table 1). Eight of these mutants were suppressed by greater than twofold in the ΔgerAB background. Nine were suppressed between 1.3- and 2-fold. The remaining six mutants were suppressed by less than 1.3-fold. We conclude that the sporulation defects of a large set of genes are partially suppressed by the absence of the GerA receptor.

ΔgerAB suppresses the cytological defects of the ylbJ mutant

To further characterize the suppression mediated by ΔgerAB, we analyzed the ΔylbJ mutant and the ΔgerAB ΔylbJ double mutant by phase contrast microscopy. Wild-type, ΔgerA and ΔylbJ single mutants and the ΔgerAB ΔylbJ double mutant were induced to sporulate by nutrient exhaustion in liquid Difco sporulation medium (DSM) and analyzed in a 20-h time course. Representative images from four time points [hours 8, 12, 16 and 20 (T8–T20)] are shown in Fig. 2. The complete time course can be found in Supporting Information Fig. S3A. By hour 8, wild-type and the ΔgerAB mutant had phase-grey and phase-bright forespores inside mother cells. By hour 16, many phase-bright spores had been released through mother cell lysis. By contrast and as reported previously (Eichenberger et al., 2003; Meeske et al., 2016), sporulating cells lacking ylbJ appeared to be stalled in development, forming phase-grey forespores. By hour 16, the cultures appeared heterogeneous (Fig. 2) with phase-grey and larger phase-dark spores. By hour 20, a few spores remained phase-grey while most were phase-dark, had a dull grey appearance that we refer to as dull phase-grey, or looked hollow or empty (Fig. 2 and Supporting Information Fig. S3A). Strikingly, in the ΔgerAB ΔylbJ mutant, the spores differentiated more uniformly to phase-grey and then maintained this state through hour 20. Based on sporulation efficiency (Table 1 and Supporting Information Table S1), only a small subset of the ΔgerAB ΔylbJ spores in these fields are heat resistant; however, the absence of GerA largely suppressed the formation of phase-dark, dull-grey and hollow spores observed in the ΔylbJ single mutant. This cytological suppression was even more pronounced on DSM agar plates (Supporting Information Fig. S3B). Furthermore, addition of nutrients to the two spore populations revealed that the ΔgerAB ΔylbJ phase grey spores were capable of germination and outgrowth while the ΔylbJ mutant spores were largely nonviable, even when the spores were not heat-treated (Supporting Information Fig. S4). Finally, analysis of a series of strains lacking one or several germinant receptors revealed that a functional GerA receptor was both necessary and sufficient to enhance the cytological defects and the viability of the ΔylbJ mutant (Supporting Information Fig. S5 and Table S1).

ΔgerAB suppresses the cytological defects of many sporulation mutants

The dramatic cytological suppression of ΔylbJ in cells lacking a functional GerA receptor prompted us to investigate whether ΔgerAB could suppress the cytological phenotypes of other mutants identified in our Tn-seq screen. We examined 28 mutants in the presence and absence of gerAB by phase-contrast microscopy at hour 24 of sporulation. With the exception of ΔasnO, ΔskiC and ΔaraR, the remaining 25 mutants were appreciably suppressed in the absence of the GerA receptor (Table 1). Figure 3 shows representative images of four mutants (ΔpdaB, ΔspoVFA, ΔspoVR and ΔspoVT), and Supporting Information Fig. S6 shows larger fields of these 4 mutants and the other 22 mutants that were suppressed by ΔgerAB. In all cases, the single mutants were heterogeneous, with phase-dark, dull phase-grey and empty spores, while the ΔgerAB mutation suppressed these phenotypes with a larger population of phase-grey and/or phase-bright spores. As in the case of ΔylbJ, a functional GerA receptor was both necessary and sufficient to enhance the cytological defects (Supporting Information Fig. S7). Notably, the suppression by ΔgerAB was incomplete indicating that the mutants do indeed impair spore maturation. However, the data clearly indicate that the morphological defects are more extreme when the GerA receptor is present.

Among the 29 mutants we analyzed by phase-contrast microscopy, six delayed spore maturation (pprC, rho, spmA, yhbH, ypbH and yqzK) (Meeske et al., 2016) and four were not previously reported to have a sporulation phenotype (gerPC, prkC, skiC and yflmI). Phase-contrast microscopy revealed that all but ΔskiC had clearly detectable cytological phenotypes. In all cases, these mutants had many phase-bright spores, as would be expected from their modest sporulation defects. However, an appreciable number of the spores in these cultures were phase-dark or appeared hollow.
Importantly, the ΔgerAB mutation largely suppressed these phenotypes. Finally, we note that four of the mutants (ΔprkC, Δrho, Δyfml and Δyrbg) that displayed cytological suppression in the absence of gerAB were suppressed by 1.2-fold or less in sporulation efficiency (Supporting Information Fig. S6; Table 1) arguing that this small increase in sporulation efficiency reflects true suppression.

The mutant phenotypes described here and their suppression by ΔgerAB were not unique to the 168 background. We detected similar phenotypes and ΔgerAB-dependent suppression for ΔylbJ and ΔpdaB in the PY79 background and in the undomesticated strain 3610 (Supporting Information Fig. S8). Collectively, these data indicate that the GerA receptor enhances the morphological defects of a large collection of sporulation mutants.

Inactivation of the spore cortex lytic enzymes suppresses the cytological defects of the mutants

A hallmark of spore germination is the transition from phase-bright to phase-dark spores. The presence of phase-dark spores in the mutants analyzed above and the reduction in this class of spores in the cells lacking a functional GerA receptor raised the possibility that morphological defects in spore development inappropriately trigger the GerA receptor leading to premature germination and the more severe phenotypes observed. Two effectors in the germination pathway that act downstream of the germinant receptors that are critical for the transition from phase-bright to phase-dark spores are the spore cortex lytic enzymes CwlJ and SleB (reviewed in Popham and Bernhards, 2015). Both proteins are synthesized during sporulation and packaged in the dormant spore. These cell wall degrading enzymes specifically target the muramic delta-lactam of the spore cortex peptidoglycan. Degradation of this protective layer allows the spore to take up more water leading to swollen phase-dark spores and ultimately exit from dormancy. If defects in spore maturation inappropriately trigger the GerA receptor and premature activation of the cortex lytic enzymes, then cells lacking CwlJ and SleB might similarly suppress the phenotypes of the mutants described above. Unlike ΔgerAB, ΔcwlJ ΔsleB double mutant spores are incapable of germinating (Ishikawa et al., 1998), so we could not use

![Fig. 2](image_url). Cytological suppression of ΔylbJ in the absence of a functional GerA receptor. Representative phase-contrast images of sporulating cells during a sporulation time course. Wild-type (wt), ΔgerAB, ΔylbJ and the ΔgerAB ΔylbJ double mutant were induced to sporulate by nutrient exhaustion at 37°C in liquid DSM. Images from 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 h after the initiation of sporulation are shown. The complete time course can be found in Supporting Information Fig. S3A. Scale bar indicates 2 μm.
sporulation efficiency as our assay for suppression. Instead, we investigated whether the double mutant could suppress the cytological phenotypes of $\Delta pdaB$, $\Delta spoVFA$, $\Delta spoVR$, $\Delta spoVT$ and $\Delta ylbJ$. Strikingly, the $\Delta cwlJ \Delta sleB$ double mutant phenocopied the $\Delta gerAB$ mutant, largely suppressing the cytological defects of the five mutants tested (Fig. 3). These results support the idea that defects in spore envelope and core maturation inappropriately trigger the GerA receptor leading to degradation of the cortex.

**Fig. 3.** The GerA receptor enhances the cytological defects of many sporulation mutants. Representative phase-contrast images of cells sporulated by nutrient exhaustion at 37°C in liquid DSM for 24 h. The set of sporulation mutants (left column) and wild-type (wt) were analyzed in a strain lacking a functional GerA receptor ($\Delta gerAB$), a strain in which GerA was the only functional receptor ($\Delta4 \text{ gerA}^+$) and a strain in which the two spore cortex lytic enzymes were absent ($\Delta cwlJ \Delta sleB$). Larger images of the strains in which the GerA receptor is absent ($\Delta gerAB$) can be found in Supporting Information Fig. S6. Scale bar indicates 2 μm.

$\Delta$-Alanine addition to sporulating cells triggers premature germination

To directly test whether GerA activation during sporulation contributes to the mutant phenotypes reported here
we sought to inappropriately activate GerA in wild-type sporulating cells during the stage when the protective layers are being assembled. To do so, we sporulated wild-type and the ΔgerAB mutant by nutrient exhaustion and at hour 4.5 of sporulation we split the cultures and added the germinant L-alanine or an equivalent amount of water to each and followed spore differentiation by phase-contrast microscopy. In the absence of germinant, wild-type cells differentiated into phase-bright spores over the next 3–5 h (Fig. 4). However, in the presence of L-alanine, the developing spores remained phase-grey for a longer period of time (Fig. 4). Approximately half of the spore population ultimately transitioned to phase-bright while the other half became phase-dark or appeared hollow. By contrast, the ΔgerAB mutant differentiated into phase-bright spores in the presence and absence of L-alanine, in a manner similar to wild-type cells in the absence of germinant. The sporulation efficiencies of the cultures as assayed by heat-resistant colony-forming units at hour 30 were consistent with the cytological analysis (Fig. 4). These data provide further support for the idea that defects in spore maturation inappropriately activate GerA leading to premature germination and spore lysis.

**The GerA receptor triggers premature germination in wild-type sporulating cells**

Our data suggest that defects in the assembly of the spore envelope layers and spore core dehydration trigger the GerA germinant receptor causing inappropriate germination. We wondered whether premature germination might be triggered in wild-type sporulating cells. To investigate this, we systematically compared fields of wild-type, ΔgerA and ΔcwlU ΔsleB sporulating cells at hour 24 of sporulation. Consistent with the idea that the GerA receptor is triggered during sporulation of wild-type cells, we found that 8.4% of wild-type spores were phase-dark, dull phase-grey, or appeared empty or hollow (Fig. 5A). By contrast, 2% of the ΔgerAB spores had these phenotypes. None of the spores from the ΔcwlU ΔsleB mutant appeared phase-dark or hollow, however, 5.9% had a grayish appearance with a dark halo suggesting that these spores may have triggered germination but were unable to complete the process. These results suggest that the GerA receptor prematurely triggers germination in a substantial proportion of the sporulating population of wild-type cells.

**The GerA receptor triggers germination in different wild-type strains under different sporulation regiments**

We wondered whether the premature germination phenotypes were unique to wild-type 168 cells sporulated in liquid medium. Accordingly, we analyzed spore-formation on sporulation agar plates. Wild-type 168 cells and the ΔgerAB mutant were spotted on DSM agar plates and allowed to sporulate at 37°C. To avoid edge effects, the cells were surrounded by additional spots of cells that competed for nearby nutrients (Supporting Information Fig. S9A). At hour 96, the entire spot was scraped and thoroughly mixed, and six fields from wild-type and ΔgerAB were visualized by phase-contrast microscopy. As was observed in liquid medium, 8.2% of the wild-type spores were phase-dark, dull phase-grey or appeared hollow, while < 0.1% of the ΔgerAB mutant had these phenotypes (Supporting Information Fig. S9B and Table S3). Similar results were obtained using the wild-type strain PY79 sporulated in liquid and on solid medium (Fig. 5B and Supporting Information Table S3) and the undomesticated wild-type strain 3610 sporulated on DSM agar and minimal medium (MSgg) agar that supports biofilm formation (Branda et al., 2001) (Fig. 5C and Supporting Information Fig. S9B and Table S3). Although we cannot rule out the possibility that some of the spores germinated after release from the mother cell, visualization of wild-type cells in a sporulation time course on DSM agar plates and in liquid medium indicates that a significant percentage of the premature germination occurred during the process of differentiation (Supporting Information Figs S10 and S11). Recent studies in the bacterium *Bacillus cereus* suggest that this spore former might also trigger premature germination in the absence of the regulator SpoVT (Eijlander et al., 2016) (see ‘Discussion’ section). Consistent with this idea, we found that 1.6% of a *B. cereus* wild-type spore population was dull phase-grey, phase-dark or hollow (Supporting Information Fig. S12 and Table S3). Collectively, these data suggest that errors in spore morphogenesis trigger GerA-dependent premature germination during sporulation and that inappropriate germination is likely to be a general feature of wild-type sporulating cells and not an idiosyncrasy of domestication.

**Prematurely germinated spores are inviable or lack resistance properties**

To investigate whether the phase-dark, dull phase-grey and hollow spores resulting from premature germination in wild-type cells retain their resistance properties, we monitored germination and outgrowth by time-lapse microscopy. Wild-type 168 spore preparations were treated at 80°C for 20 min and then incubated on an LB agar pad at 37°C and monitored using a Nikon TiE microscope. Within 30 min, virtually all of the phase-bright spores became swollen and phase-dark (Fig. 6A).
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We note that on this microscope the germinated phase-dark spores have a greyish appearance. By hour 1.5, spore outgrowth had begun and continued over the next 1.5 h (Fig. 6A). Consistent with the idea that the prematurely germinated spores are sensitive to heat, the dull phase-grey spores present at the start of the experiment failed to grow out over the 3-h experiment. To analyze a larger population of prematurely germinated spores, we used density gradient centrifugation to separate phase-bright and phase-dark/grey and hollow spores (Supporting Information Fig. S13). The prematurely germinated spores were >95% pure with a small percentage of vegetative cells and contaminating phase-bright spores (Fig. 6B and Supporting Information Fig. S13). We analyzed this purified fraction in an outgrowth time course. The purified phase-dark, dull phase-grey and hollow spores were incubated with LB medium and then monitored every 30 min by phase-contrast microscopy. In the absence of heat treatment, a subpopulation of phase-dark spores initiated outgrowth after ~1 h (Fig. 6B and Supporting Information Fig. S14). This subpopulation appeared to continue growing over the next 2 h.
Fig. 5. GerA-dependent premature germination in a subset of wild-type sporulating cells.
A. Representative phase-contrast images of sporulated cells. Wild-type (wt), ΔgerAB and ΔcwlJ ΔsleB mutants of B. subtilis 168 were induced to sporulate by nutrient exhaustion at 37°C in liquid DSM. After 24 h, the cells were imaged by phase-contrast microscopy and spore phenotypes were quantified. >3000 spores were scored for each strain. Examples of phase-dark (red carets), dull phase-gray (yellow carets) and hollow (white carets) spores are highlighted. A representative phase-bright, phase-dark, dull phase-grey and hollow spore is shown (insert). For the ΔcwlJ ΔsleB mutant, phase-grey spores with dark halos (yellow carets) are highlighted. Scale bars indicate 2 μm.
B. Wild-type (wt) and the ΔgerAB mutant from B. subtilis PY79 were sporulated on solid DSM agar. After 96 h at 37°C, one spot (insert) was scraped, washed in 1× PBS and then visualized by phase-contrast microscopy. The spore phenotypes were quantified as in (A). >2000 spores were scored for each strain. Scale bars indicate 2 μm (spores) and 5 mm (spots of sporulating cells).
C. Wild-type (wt) and ΔgerAB cells of B. subtilis 3610 were grown sporulated on solid MSgg agar. After 96 h at 37°C, one spot (insert) was scraped, resuspended in 1× PBS, disrupted by sonication and washed three times before visualization by phase-contrast microscopy. The spore phenotypes were quantified as in (A). >2000 spores were scored for each strain. Scale bars indicate 2 μm (spores) and 1 cm (spot of biofilm).
Importantly, a large percentage of the prematurely germinated spores remained dull phase-grey in appearance for the entire 3-h incubation. Thus, the vast majority of prematurely germinated spores were inviable. Furthermore, and as expected, virtually all of the prematurely germinated spores failed to grow out after incubation at 80°C for 20 min (Fig. 6B and Supporting Information Fig. S14). We suspect that the few spores that transitioned to vegetative growth after heat treatment were contaminating phase-bright spores in the purified fraction. Collectively, these results indicate that the majority of spores that trigger GerA-dependent premature germination lose viability or their resistance properties.

**Discussion**

Here, we have shown that a large set of mutants that are predicted to impact the cortex, the coat, or spore core dehydration trigger GerA-dependent germination during spore morphogenesis. Furthermore, we report that a surprisingly large population of wild-type cells activate GerA during sporulation triggering premature germination and loss of resistance properties or viability. Importantly, premature germination was observed in domesticated and undomesticated wild-type strains sporulated in liquid and on solid media. These data suggest that maintaining a germinant receptor that sensitively detects and responds to L-alanine has been positively selected despite the repeated loss of a subset of the sporulating population from premature germination. Based on the types of mutants that increased premature germination, we propose that errors or mistakes that are made during morphogenesis trigger premature germination in wild-type cells.

It remains an open question why the GerA receptor and not the other two principal receptors GerB and GerK is so prone to activation. Quantitative immunoblots indicate that the levels of the GerA receptor in the spore are at most twofold higher than that of GerB or GerK (Stewart and Setlow, 2013), arguing against the idea that GerA levels can account for this GerA-specific phenomenon. We envision three possible explanations for this specificity that are not mutually exclusive. In the first, GerA is intrinsically more sensitive than GerB and GerK (Venkatasubramanian and Johnstone, 1993). This sensitivity would ensure a rapid response by the dormant spore to low concentrations of L-alanine and would suggest that L-alanine serves as the principal signal for nutrient availability. In this scenario, defects to the envelope layers or a failure to dehydrate the core could inappropriately trigger the receptor in an L-alanine-dependent or -independent manner. Thus, inappropriate activation would be the cost of this heightened sensitivity. It is noteworthy that *B. subtilis* and other endospore formers encode a sporulation-specific alanine racemase (AlrB) that is thought to reduce the sensitivity of cells to L-alanine by converting it into D-alanine. Although a ΔalrB mutant causes premature germination in *Bacillus anthracis* (Chesnokova et al., 2009), the analogous mutant in *B. subtilis* had no impact on the phenotypes reported here (Supporting Information Fig. S15) (Kanda-Nambu et al., 2000). In the second model, defects due to mutations or errors in morphogenesis could result in metabolites (derived from mother cell or forespore) gaining access to the intermembrane space. The concentration of these metabolites is not known but based on analysis in *E. coli* (Yuan et al., 2006) the concentration of L-alanine is likely to be higher than the germinants (asparagine, glucose, fructose, K⁺) required to activate GerB and GerK (Venkatasubramanian and Johnstone, 1993). In the third model, the L-alanine in the stem peptides of the spore peptidoglycan might be the source of the inducing signal. Approximately 50% of these peptides are removed in the formation of muramic delta lactams in the spore cortex (Gilmore et al., 2004). If endopeptidases act upon these peptides, L-alanine could be liberated in the intermembrane space. In the context of this model, mutations or errors in morphogenesis could lead to a rise in the L-alanine concentration to a level sufficient to trigger GerA. Unfortunately, it is currently not possible to test this model because removal of the stem peptides is required to generate the substrate for SleB and CwiJ (Popham et al., 1996). Accordingly, mutations that block cortex maturation prevent its degradation during germination. Future experiments will be directed at distinguishing among these models.

Our findings that many sporulation mutants lose viability during differentiation by triggering the GerA-dependent germination pathway have interesting parallels with a newly discovered pathway that becomes activated when the spore coat is improperly assembled (Tan et al., 2015). Defects in coat assembly were recently shown to trigger the degradation of the SpoIVA protein that forms the base- ment layer of this multiprotein structure. In situations where these defects are due to mutations and are therefore chronic, this degradation pathway causes loss of spore viability. Based on these findings, it was proposed that this pathway functions to eliminate mutants with mild sporulation defects that might accumulate in the population. Although the loss of spore viability in response to mutations in spore morphogenesis that we report here could similarly serve to cleanse the genome, we suspect this was not the evolutionary driving force for either pathway. In the case of coat assembly, we propose that SpoIVA degradation is a quality control mechanism that allows the cell to correct errors in the assembly of the SpoIVA layer. Since SpoIVA polymers, once assembled, appear to be inert...
(Ramamurthi and Losick, 2008; Castaing et al., 2013), a mechanism to degrade off-pathway assembly products might be necessary for these corrections. In the case of GerA, as we have argued above, we suspect that the evolutionary pressure to respond quickly to nutrients in the environment outweighed the loss of 2–8% of the spore population due to errors. Nonetheless, the ability to remove modestly deleterious mutations from the genome seems to be an added benefit of both pathways.

Another outcome of our study is a new appreciation that the primary defects of many sporulation mutants are more modest than previously reported. This is best exemplified by the ylbJ mutant, which sporulates at 0.6% rather than at 0.00002% when the GerA receptor is absent. Similarly, mutations in pdaB, uppP, spoVT, spoVR and others all have more modest phenotypes in a ΔgerAB background. A more detailed study of all of these mutants in a strain that cannot induce premature germination has the potential to shed new light on the specific roles of these factors in spore morphogenesis. Reciprocally, our discovery that >25 mutations inappropriately activate GerA suggests a functional link among their gene products. A deeper understanding of the mechanism that triggers GerA activation could reveal the common defect shared by these mutants.

Finally, we note that several of the genes we identified in our Tn-seq screen had previously been found to have unusual or unexplained phenotypes that can now be interpreted in the context of our data. For example, developing spores lacking pdaB (spoVIE) had been reported

---

**Fig. 6.** Prematurely germinated spores are inviable or lack resistance properties.

A. Representative time-lapse microscopy of spores induced to germinate and outgrowth. Spore germination and outgrowth was monitored on a LB agarose pad at 37°C by phase-contrast microscopy. Phase-bright spores (red caret) germinate (T0.5) and initiate outgrowth (T1.5). Phase-grey spores (yellow carets) fail to grow during the 3-h experiment.

B. Purified prematurely germinated spores from wild-type were heat-treated (80°C for 20 min) or left untreated and then resuspended in 2 ml of LB. Spores were incubated at 37°C and then visualized by phase-contrast microscopy at the indicated times (Larger fields can be found in Supporting Information Fig. S14). Virtually, all prematurely germinated spores were sensitive to heat and most failed to grow during the 3-h experiment. Scale bar indicates 2 μm.
to transition from phase-grey to phase-bright and then back to phase-grey or phase-dark (Fukushima et al., 2004). Based on the data presented here, the transition from phase-bright back to grey/dark is likely due to the inappropriate activation of GerA and exit from dormancy. Similarly, mutations in the spoVT gene in B. cereus were recently shown to display phenotypes that suggested premature germination (Eijlander et al., 2016). We suspect that, as in the case in B. subtilis, this mutant inappropriately triggers spore germination by one or several of the germinant receptors in B. cereus. It will be interesting to see if this response is also mediated by the γ-alanine receptor (Barlass et al., 2002). Lastly, Popham and coworkers have reported that B. subtilis spores lacking spmA or spmB appear to germinate faster than wild-type (Popham et al., 1995) and in Clostridium perfringens these mutants are unstable (Orsburn et al., 2008). We wonder whether the morphological defects caused by these mutants prime the GerA receptor to respond even more rapidly to nutrient exposure. We suspect that the ability to germinate faster can similarly explain why transposon insertions were over-represented in so many of the genes identified in our Tn-seq screen in the Δ4 gerK+ library compared to the wild-type library.

In summary, we have discovered that the germinant receptor GerA is poised on a knife’s edge and triggers premature germination in a significant portion of wild-type cells leading to spores lacking resistance properties or viability. We hypothesize that GerA is activated by errors in spore morphogenesis and the challenge for the future is to define what these errors are and how they activate GerA.

**Experimental procedures**

**General methods**

*B. subtilis* strains were derived from 168, PY79 or 3610. Sporulation in liquid medium was induced at 37°C by nutrient exhaustion in supplemented DS medium (DSM) (Schaeffer et al., 1965) or by resuspension according to the method of Sterlini–Mandelstam (Sterlini and Mandelstam, 1969). For sporulation on solid media, strains were grown in DSM or MSgg to an OD600 of 0.5 and 5 μl were spotted on DSM or MSgg agar plates. Plates were incubated for 96 h at 37°C. Sporulation efficiency was determined in 24–30 h cultures as the total number of heat-resistant (80°C for 20 min) colony-forming units (CFUs) compared to wild-type heat-resistant CFUs. Insertion-deletion mutants were from the Bacillus knock-out (BKE) collection (Koo et al., 2017) or were generated by isothermal assembly (Gibson, 2011) of PCR products followed by direct transformation into B. subtilis. All BKE mutants were back-crossed twice into B. subtilis 168 before assaying and prior to antibiotic cassette removal. Antibiotic cassette removal was performed using a temperature-sensitive plasmid that constitutively expresses Cre recombinase (Meeske et al., 2015). Unless otherwise indicated, B. subtilis strains were constructed using genomic DNA and a 1-step competence method. Tables of strains (Supporting Information Table S5) and oligonucleotide primers (Supporting Information Table S6) and a description of strains constructions can be found in Supplemental Material.

**Transposon insertion sequencing (Tn-seq)**

Transposon insertion sequencing (Tn-seq) was performed on independently generated libraries as described previously (Meeske et al., 2016). Briefly, transposon libraries were generated in wild-type, Δ4 gerA+ and Δ4 gerK+ strains in which GerA or GerK was the only functional germinant receptor. The libraries were washed in DSM and diluted into 50 ml DSM at an OD600 of 0.05. Samples were harvested at the onset of starvation (T0) and 24 h later (T24). The T24 samples were incubated at 80°C for 20 min and plated on LB agar. Approximately 500,000 colonies from the germinated spores were pooled. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from the samples and digested with MmeI, followed by adapter ligation. Transposon-chromosome junctions were amplified in 16–18 PCR cycles. PCR products were gel-purified and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq platform using TruSeq reagents (Tufts University TUCF Genomics facility). Reads were mapped to the B. subtilis 168 genome (NCBI NC_009643.3), tallied at each TA site, and genes in which reads were statistically underrepresented were identified using the Mann–Whitney *U* test. Visual inspection of transposon insertion profiles was performed using Artemis software (version 16.0; Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, CB10 1SA, UK). Some of the genes in Table 1 were chosen for analysis based on visual inspection of the insertions profiles despite having high *P*-values.

**Microscopy**

Sporulating cells and spores were collected by centrifugation at 6500 × *g* for 1 min and immobilized on 2% agarose pads. Phase-contrast microscopy was performed using an Olympus BX61 microscope equipped with an UplanF1 100× phase contrast objective. Time-lapse microscopy was performed using a Nikon CFI Plan Apo VC 100× objective. LB agarose pads were placed on a H401 plate, where temperature was maintained at 37°C with an H401-T-Single temperature controller (Okolab, Burlingame, CA 94010, USA). Images were acquired every 15 min. Image analysis and processing were performed using MetaMorph software (version 7.7; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA 94089, USA).
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Spore scoring

Four to six fields of sporulating cells (400–800 spores/field) were analyzed per strain. The number of phase-bright, phase-dark, dull phase-grey and hollow spores was determined using the Manual Count Objects command in MetaMorph software. For sporulation induced on DSM agar plates, the entire spot of sporulated cells was scraped, washed three times with 1× PBS and analyzed by phase-contrast microscopy. For sporulation induced on MSgg agar plates, the complete colony was scraped, washed three times with 1× PBS and the biofilm was disrupted by sonication (two rounds of 15 s pulses with an amplitude of 80% and 30 s of incubation on ice between the rounds). The cell suspension was washed three more times with 1× PBS before visualization by phase-contrast microscopy.

Spore preparation and purification

Spores produced by nutrient exhaustion on DSM agar plates were harvested after 96 h of incubation at 37°C and suspended in 5 ml of ddH₂O. Spores from two agar plates were harvested after 96 h of incubation at 37°C and suspended in 5 ml of ddH₂O. Spores from two agar plates were harvested after 96 h of incubation at 37°C and then resuspended in 200 μl of 20% Histodenz. The spore suspension was then loaded on top of 1 ml of 30% Histodenz and centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 30 min to separate phase-dark, dull phase-grey and hollow spores from dormant phase-bright spores. Both fractions were isolated, washed five times with 1× PBS and resuspended in 100 μl of 1× PBS and analyzed by phase-contrast microscopy.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Rudner and Bernhardt labs for advice and encouragement. We thank Paula Montero Llopis for advice on microscopy, Rich Losick and Dan Kearns for strains, David Popham for helpful suggestions and Anne Moir for strains and sage advice about germination. We also thank Rocio Barajas for support. Support for this work came from the National Institute of Health Grants GM073831 and GM086466 (D.Z.R.); F.H.R.G. was funded in part by a Conacyt postdoctoral fellowship (México).

References


Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web-site.